Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/871,610	MCGALL ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
·	BJ Forman	1634
All Participants:	Status of Application	on:
(1) <u>BJ Forman</u> .	(3)	
(2) <u>Lisa Treannie</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: <u>1 August 2005</u>	Time:	
Type of Interview: Telephonic Video Conference Personal (Copy given to: Applicant	plicant's representative)	
Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No If Yes, provide a brief description:		
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed:		
Claims discussed:		•
1		
Prior art documents discussed:		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GE See Continuation Sheet	NERAL NATURE OF WHA	T WAS DISCUSSED:
Part III.		
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separal directly resulted in the allowance of the application. of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separal did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summer 	The examiner will provide a te record of the substance	a written summary of the substance of the interview, since the interview
	·	!
		•
BJ FORMAN PH D		
PRIMARY EXAMINER		
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applic	cant/Applicant's Representat	tive Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The examiner informed Ms Treannie that the amendments are not commensurate in scope with the unexpected results asserted in the specification and Declaration. The examiner stated that the missing elements are 1) a synthesis chamber, 2) corner pointing downward, 3) rotation after each synthesis step and 4) rotation of multiples of 90 degrees e.g. 90, 180, 270. Ms Treannie stated that she would discuss the issued with Applicant.

BJ FORMAN, PH.D. PRIMARY EXAMINER